NATIONALISM TODAY

The Radical Voice of British Nationalism

40p

ULSTER

SMASH THE SUPERGRASS SYSTEM

See Page 4

NATIONALISM TODAY *The Radical Voice of British Nationalism*

50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.
Tel. 01-684-0271

Editor: Joe Pearce

Editorial Staff:
Nick Griffin, Nick Wakeling, Jim Capes, John Field, Steve Brady.

Nationalism Today is the radical voice of British Nationalism. It is printed, published and distributed by the N.T. Press. Editorially it supports the National Front.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors — and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor, *N.T.* or the National Front.

The appearance of any advertisement in *Nationalism Today* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in, or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive manuscripts of articles from readers for possible publication. These should be typed in double-spacing, and normally be no longer than 1,250 words. No payment is made for articles published — which become *N.T.* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alterations to be made.

Those wishing to re-print articles from *Nationalism Today* must gain the permission of the Editor and under-take to include with the re-printed article the author's name — and the name and address of *Nationalism Today*.

BULK RATES

10 copies £3.50 + 95p post
20 copies £6.40 + £1.67 post
30 copies £9.60 + £1.67 post
40 copies £12.80 + £2.00 post
50 copies £14.50 + £2.00 post
60 copies £17.40 + £2.20 post
70 copies £20.30 + £2.20 post
80 copies £23.20 + £2.35 post
90 copies £26.10 + £2.35 post
100 copies £26.00 + £2.50 post
200 - 499 copies: 23p each) Postal rates apply
500 copies and over: 20p ea) for details

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Nationalism Today* and sent to *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

EDITORIAL

ISSUE No. 21

ULSTER-THE NEED FOR UNITY

TEN years have passed since the triumph of the Loyalist Ulster Workers' Strike — the first successful General Strike in history. Yet after crushing the Sunningdale sellout regime and forcing the Westminster Government to surrender to its demands, Ulster Loyalism failed to follow through its initial victory. And today the IRA murderers butcher and maim unchecked, whilst the Loyalist camp is riven by internal dissension and hundreds of brave young patriots rot unjustly in Ulster jails.

Ulster is ruled now, as in 1974, as a "coconut colony", her British people deprived of an effective say in their own affairs and oppressed by the unjust sham of the "supergrass system".

This clearly cannot go on. Obviously, there is an urgent need for Loyalist unity, in order that there can be effective Loyalist action.

As our contribution to building that unity, in this issue we publish an interview given to *NT* by Alderman Hugh Smyth, Loyalist Deputy Mayor of Belfast, despite the fact that it will be evident that he and we do not see eye to eye on many issues. It is nonetheless a healthy sign that Alderman Smyth should be willing, as we are, to set aside such differences, and open a dialogue in the interests of our common Loyalist unity. We also publish a controversial contribution from David Kerr of the North Belfast Independent Unionist Association, reflecting another Loyalist view, perhaps rather closer to our own.

In the future, we will continue this policy of opening our columns by invitation to Loyalists of a variety of viewpoints, without in any way compromising our own views or asking that they compromise theirs. The war in Ulster is a war of national survival. In the fight for Ulster's freedom, unity is vital. We will play our part to the full in building that unity.

TWO MARTYRS FOR BRITAIN

ON MAY 3rd, exactly one year will have elapsed since the murder of Albert Mariner. Regular readers of *Nationalism Today* will be aware of the circumstances which led to the tragic and untimely death of this great British patriot.

Despite the Establishment cover-up, the National Front will always remember Albert Mariner with fondness and we will fight to keep his name alive.

Last month, another person was killed while fighting for Britain. David Jones, a 24 year-old Yorkshire miner, died after receiving a severe blow on the chest while on picket duty outside a Nottinghamshire pit.

As Britain plunges ever further towards becoming a violent police state, it is inevitable that more people will become the victims of the newly imposed tyranny. Albert Mariner and David Jones both died while trying to exercise their freedom. It is vital that we ensure that their sacrifices do not go in vain.

POLICE AND THIEVES

ROOTS, the regular series in *NT* which focusses on individuals who have made leading contributions to Nationalist ideology, has been suspended as from this issue. This is due to the fact that Joe Pearce's book of quotes, which was used as the source material for the series, has been stolen from his house by members of the Police Community Relations Squad during a raid last month. Full details of this raid are given on page fifteen.

THE JEWISH PARADOX

ZIONIST Jews have always been at the forefront of those people promoting both immigration and multi-racialism. One such Zionist Jew is Pers Scheibner, a Berlin-born Jew who is now living in Lewisham.

Scheibner claims to have a "deep commitment to fighting racial intolerance", and to this end he founded the New Horizon Charitable Trust in 1981. The trust is a multi-racial registered charity and one of its leading trustees is Rabbi Albert Friedlander, minister of Westminster Synagogue.

The trust's work is being backed by some individual Jews, West Indians, and Asians, including Vietnamese and, according to Scheibner, its main aim is to establish a permanent centre which will cost some £600,000.

However, Scheibner is using the trust in a number of other ways which are designed specifically to benefit the Jewish community alone. He told the *Jewish Chronicle* that one of his main jobs was to 'educate' immigrants so that they would understand the way that Jews were persecuted during the so-called Holocaust. In other words he was using his position at the Trust to spread Zionist propaganda. As he boasted to the *Jewish Chronicle,* he was "aware of the difficulties of teaching the significance of the Holocaust to people with other backgrounds, but the task was essential".

LEADING ZIONIST

Another leading Zionist who promotes multi-racialism for everybody other than his own people is Greville Janner, Labour MP for Leicester West. Following complaints by Janner in Parliament, the Government has told immigration officers to "soften their attitude" to Indians arriving in this country. Janner made his complaint because he said that Indians entering the country were "humiliated, distressed and harassed" on immigration.

However, Greville Janner is an arch-Zionist

Pers Scheibner

and, as such, he supports the "humiliation, distress and harassment" of Palestinians at the hands of the racialist government of Israel.

This paradoxical approach of Zionist Jews to the subject of multi-racialism was epitomised by a recent editorial in the *Jewish Chronicle* itself. The editorial attacked a member of the South African Jewish Board of Deputies for marrying a non-Jew. It then went on to make a scathing attack on mixed marriages between Jews and Gentiles: "It is difficult enough to convey the message of the Jewish heritage and to offer the challenge of Jewish continuity in a highly secularised world. It becomes almost impossible when those who direct or represent Jewish communities detach themselves and their own children from the substructure on which Jewish identity is based".

It is interesting, to say the least, that the world's biggest advocates of multi-racialism are the very same people who practice it the least. It is even more interesting that national circulation newspapers like the *Jewish Chronicle* can publish outrageously racist editorials such as the one above without even a whisper of protest from Britain's race relations industry.

"IRA HAVE SHOWN US THE WAY"

FOLLOWING a march through Dewsbury in Yorkshire an Asian community leader gave his approval to the methods used by the IRA. Manjit Singh, a leading member of the Asian Youth Movement in Bradford, told a meeting of several hundred local Asians that they should take revenge against local Whites who he blamed for 'racial attacks' in the area. It was then that he gave his support for the IRA: "Remember Southall. Remember the Coventry murderers. Remember the Bradford 12. **And remember Ireland, where another oppressed minority has been fighting for centuries. They have shown us the way".**

'SEND US BACK'

THE TORY Government's voluntary repatriation scheme has been a dismal failure with only 152 people taking advantage of the scheme in 1982.

However, the reason for this failure does not lie with immigrants not wanting to return home, but with the half-hearted attitude of the Government towards the scheme who do not, in reality, want to encourage Asians and West Indians to return home even if they want to.

This attitude by the Tories was attacked by a West Indian community leader recently who said that the Government do not understand "the real needs" of immigrants wishing to return home.

Dr Ashton Gibson, of the Afro-West Indian Council of Churches, said the Government should offer those immigrants wishing to return home financial assistance for at least a year in their home country, so they could "make a fresh start".

Dr. Gibson, who has lived in Britain for 30 years, said: "Nearly every West Indian in Britain, including myself, looks forward to resettling in their home country. They are all dreaming of going back.

"Economics prevents them from doing so. I would be the first in the queue if such a scheme were to be introduced".

Ideal terms of a repatriation scheme would supply the "dole for at least a year" to applicants, said Dr. Gibson, who comes from Barbados originally. He also thought the scheme should be open to everyone, not just those registered unemployed.

Nationalism Today agrees with Dr. Gibson completely. Supplying the equivalent of unemployment benefit for a year would be a low price to pay for restoring Britain to a mono-racial society. Furthermore, the cost incurred by implementing such a scheme would be more than offset by the new jobs created for the indigenous White population once the immigrants had returned home.

Considering the fact that Dr. Gibson's scheme for repatriating immigrants makes sound economic sense one can only conclude that the Tories don't wish to see coloured immigrants return home any more than do their Labour rivals.

SKREWDRIVER'S RECORDS

VOICE OF BRITAIN b/w SICK SOCIETY. Skrewdrivers latest, dedicated to Albert Mariner.
Send £1.20 plus 25p postage.

WHITE POWER. Three great tracks, including "Smash the IRA" and "Shove the Dove".
Send £1.50 plus 25p, postage.

Send to:- Nationalist Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

SMASH THE SUPERGRASS SYSTEM

RECENTLY we highlighted the case of 14 Loyalists who had been imprisoned on the sole 'evidence' of Supergrass, Joe Bennett.

Now further information exposes the Supergrass 'show trials' for what they are – perhaps the greatest miscarriage of British justice since the Race Relations Acts were introduced, under which the accused is 'guilty until proven innocent'.

Before, during and after the Bennett case, glaring errors appeared in Bennett's 'evidence', which proves that the Supergrass system is itself based on an erosion of justice and a set of double standards.

For instance:

* On 2nd June, 1982, at Belfast Magistrates Court, an Inspector Taylor of the Royal Ulster Constabulary (RUC) admitted **under oath** that Joe Bennett had been granted **immunity** from prosecution for the **murder** of a 64 year-old postmistress, in an abortive armed robbery on Killinchy Post Office.
* On 28th July, 1982, a spokesman for the Director of Public Prosecutions **denied** an allegation made in a Belfast Court, that a man had been granted immunity for the murder of a young schoolgirl. He said: "No such deal has been done with anyone regarding the murder" thus implying to the Courts, the media and the public that such deals were **unthinkable.**
* In similar Supergrass cases, the Crown has resorted to the use of what is called a 'Voluntary Bill of Indictment'. This denies accused people the right of the normal preliminary inquiry. It **prevents** magistrates from examining what evidence there is, and **removes the right** of Defence Council to cross-examine suspect witnesses.



* In the Northern Irish *Sunday Times* of 25th July, 1982, the Attorney General, Sir Michael Havers, was questioned about his refusal to prosecute policemen on the word of Supergrasses, for corruption. Sir Michael replied: "It is very easy to make allegations, and **villains** have every reason to do so. It is very straight forward if it is simply a **villain** against a policeman, or **anyone else,** I wouldn't prosecute."

So why were 14 British Patriots imprisoned on the sole word of Joe Bennett, a murderer, proven perjurer, self-confessed forger, adulterer and compulsive gambler?

Could the Tories be using the 'evidence' of scum like Bennett to imprison Loyalists in order to pave the way for a complete sell-out of Ulster?

With all those who would physically oppose a sell-out safely locked up in Long Kesh, the only people to oppose a 'United Ireland' would be politicians like wind-bag Ian Paisley – that's if he hadn't already fled Ulster, comfortable on the proceeds of the money squeezed out of the Orange bible-bashing fur coat brigade who infest Belfast's opulant suburbs.

Militant working class Loyalists justifiably feel that the 'British' Government's System has let them down. Not only does that System imprison those who's only 'crime' is loyalty but it also employs Supergrasses to do its dirty work.

It is evident that such a System makes a mockery of British justice. **Such a system must be smashed!**

TRANQUIL LIES

BRITAIN faces an epidemic of patient dependence on tranquillisers. One in seven of the adult population take them every year, often without knowing about the dangers of addiction or dangerous side-effects.

The National Association for Mental Health has expressed grave concern over the fact that "there is now substantial evidence that these drugs (such as Valium and Mogadon) are being prescribed for periods which far exceed their usefulness".

Although only effective for four months in the case of anxiety and up to 12 days for sleeplessness, thousands of people have been on these drugs for up to 15 years. 1.5% of the adult population has been taking them for a year or more.

People on these drugs are far more likely to have accidents and are not told that they impair memory and other intellectual functions, or that they are only effective for a short time.

Tranquillisers cost the N.H.S. millions of pounds every year, partly because the branded names often used cost over four times the amount of identical unbranded drugs. They are all among the most profitable drugs for the pharmaceutical industry.

So it is no surprise that the drugs companies spend huge amounts of advertising and promotional gimmicks to encourage lazy or overworked doctors to prescribe tranquillisers to patients who should not be on them.

It is also no surprise that successive governments have done nothing to curb this threat to our nation's health. For much of the anxiety that leads to the use of tranquillisers is the result of living in inhuman tower blocks or slums, of economic hardship and unemployment, of living in a dangerous and fragmented multi-racial society. The prescription of mind-numbing drugs to stop people worrying about these things is, more than anything, else, a means of social control.

ANOTHER BRICK IN THE WALL

UP TO 1,000 Post Offices are to be closed in the latest round of "cuts", it has been announced by Mr. Ron Dearing, chairman of the State corporation. The main casualties will be urban sub-post offices run in conjunction with general stores.

Several hundred jobs will be lost as a result of this 5% cut in the overall number of post offices, which is also being hit by continual closures in rural areas.

The loss of income from the post office side of their business will force more small, independent corner shops to close down, unable to compete with the big chain stores. The money saved by the cuts will be put into a £100 million automation programme at larger post offices to install cash dispensers. These would make it possible for the Government to introduce plastic money cards to enable pensioners and the unemployed to withdraw their money, say Post Office executives.

Such developments, together with many others, all lead in the same direction. They lead towards a society where the individual leads a lonely, isolated life, cut off from contact with other human beings.

Unemployed and alienated from his surroundings, he will receive just enough dole money to buy the essentials of life from the big capitalist super-stores; provided he doesn't take part in "subversive" political activity.

That is what the future holds in store for us – unless we act to change it.

BITTER HARVEST

A SUCCESSFUL farmer and her 74 year-old sick father were evicted on February 2nd from the farm their family have lived on and managed for the last fifty years.

The farm thrives. The rent has always been paid on the dot. There has not been a single word of complaint from anyone. Yet the landlord, Lord Leverhulme, one of the richest men in Britain, still insisted on evicting them.

"The only reason I can think of is that I'm a woman," said Jane Collins, the 33 year-old farmer of Lydiate Hall Farm on Merseyside.

"I have been working here as a labourer and a farmer since 1971. Since 1976, when my father got bronchial pneumonia, I've managed it myself. I do all the accounts, all the tax, and I'm out on the farm twelve hours a day".

In fact, Jane Collins has been doing a commendable job at making Lydiate Hall Farm a success all round. In 1979, she opened a farm shop which prospered hugely, and she personally dug a 100-yard trench to carry electricity to the shop. Turnover rose quickly to nearly £100,000 a year.

VAST INCREASE

In 1980, Lord Leverhulme increased the rent for the 180-acre farm from £2,862 a year to an extortionate £6,585. To meet this vast increase, Jane Collins reorganised her crop rotation, invested £10,000 in new machinery and took on an extra worker.

It was then that her father, Stephen Lovelady, who took over Lydiate farm from his father in 1931, applied to formally transfer tenancy to Jane. Lord Leverhulme's agent, Colonel Frank Williams, at first refused without giving a reason. However, at a meeting in April 1981, he promised to consider the request again and asked for the farm accounts. Jane sent them at once, together with a warm reference from her accountants.

A year ago, while desperately ill in hospital, Stephen Lovelady formally resigned his tenancy.

"I assumed Jane would take over from me just as I'd taken over from my father," he said. "These farms are usually transferred from parents to children. A Leverhulme farm down the road was handed over by a father to his son just recently. The son was only 24".

Then, last June, came the decision which shocked both Stephen Lovelady and his daughter Jane. Colonel Williams wrote to say that Jane Collins' request for the tenancy was refused and that she, her father and her husband would have to leave their home. No reasons were given.

Jane then wrote a desperate appeal to Lord Leverhulme, asking him to reverse Colonel Williams' decision. On July 8th last year. Lord Leverhulme replied to say that it had been his decision not to let the farm to Jane and that there was no prospect of him changing his mind. Again no reasons for the decision were given.

SHOCKED

Other farmers in the area were as shocked by Lord Leverhulme's eviction notice as were Jane and her father. Rob Molyneux, who farms 360 acres in Lydiate, said that all the neighbouring farmers were shocked by the decision. "The Loveladys have been a tradition in this area almost all this century" he said. "Jane was the natural successor. She's an extremely good farmer, and she's a good buyer and seller too. She has a loyal and skillful workforce. I can't think of any reason – none of us can – why she should be kicked off like this".

The fact that a family can be kicked out of the farm they had managed for more than fifty years without even being given a reason is a damning indictment of the landlord-tenant system. Why should an enormously wealthy absentee landlord like Lord Leverhulme have the right to evict his tenants at whim?

Jane Collins, on her farm

It is high time the landlord-tenant system was swept aside once and for all. Farmers must have the right to hand over the land they have cultivated all their lives to their children. No one, be it an absentee landlord or the Government, should have the right to remove a farming family from their land so long as they show themselves able to manage and cultivate it.

Furthermore, the very existance of absentee landlords should become a thing of the past. This is so because if a man does not own property of his own he becomes the property of somebody else. Lord Leverhulme acted as though Jane Collins and her sick father were his own personal property with which he could do as he liked. This system is self-evidently unjust. Under a National Front Government the people would have an absolute right to own the land they live and work on.

BRITAIN'S HERITAGE IN DANGER!

THEY peer out maimed and hideous. Faces once sublime leer in grotesque distortion. Hands once long and delicate are amputated to ugly stumps.

The victims are the statues which line the walls of many British cathedrals and churches. Their natural limestone lifespan has been cut drastically by the ravages of that twentieth century industrial disease known as acid rain.

In the last issue of *Nationalism Today* we highlighted the way in which acid rain, caused by sulphurous emissions from power stations and other industrial complexes, is destroying Britain's wildlife. However, the way in which it is eating away at ancient monuments and destroying statues that are as much as nine hundred years old, makes it as big a threat to our nation's architectual heritage.

In spite of all the evidence which points the finger of guilt for acid rain at power stations and heavy industry, the Tories announced in March that they were going to take no further action to stop pollution for another five years. By that time it could well be too late for many of Britain's historical buildings and monuments.



1984 NATIONAL FRONT CALENDARS – SPECIAL OFFER!

Send just £1.50 (includes postage) to Nationalist Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF, for a 1984 NF Calendar. With 12 large photo's of the NF in action its a great souvenir item.

WORLD AFFAIRS

RELIGIOUS CRANKS WITH THEIR FINGERS ON THE BUTTON

MUCH has been said in the Zionist-controlled mass media about the "religious fanatics" who are causing the turmoil in the Middle East. The press seem intent on pinning the blame on "muslim extremists" while ignoring the role played by the terror state of Israel in fomenting hate and discord in Palestine, Lebanon and other countries in the region.

However, the mass media conveniently chooses to forget another group of religious fanatics who present a far greater threat to world peace than do muslim militiamen in Beirut. The fanatics in question reside in the United States, and they call themselves the Moral Majority.

The Moral Majority came to prominence a few years back when they were largely instrumental in getting Ronald Reagan elected to the White House. Reagan's election indicated how powerful the 'born-again' Christians of Moral Majority really are and, in order to ensure their support again in the current round of Presidential elections, he has been constantly dancing to the Moral Majority tune.

The danger of Moral Majority lies in the fact that they believe a forthcoming nuclear war will herald the second coming of Christ. As one of their leading lights, the Reverend Jerry Falwell, put it: "From Genesis to Revelations the message is clear. There will be a nuclear holy war over Jerusalem and the Russians will come out second best . . . if we are ready for it. The issue is survival. Jesus was not a pacifist. He was not a sissy."

So there it is, straight from the mouth of one of Moral Majority's most well-known gurus. These religious cranks, who hold great influence over American President Ronald Reagan, actually **want** a nuclear war in order to test whether their predictions about the second coming of Christ are true.

Next time the newspapers write about "religious fanatics" causing trouble in the Middle East, perhaps they should be informed of the real religious fanatics on the other side of the Atlantic. One group are fighting a domestic war in their own country, the other group could end up killing millions of people all over the world.

The illustrations on this page are taken from a book produced by Moral Majority called Support Your Local Jew.


RUSSIA HAS LONG BEEN THE ENEMY OF ISRAEL
2000 YEARS AGO THE PROPHET EZEKIEL, PREDICTED THE TERRIBLE *DESTRUCTION OF RUSSIA WHEN SHE MOVES AGAINST ISRAEL.
THAT ACTION SETS OFF WORLD WAR III, A ONE DAY FIRE WAR — **AS A RESULT ¼ OF THE WORLD'S POPULATION DIES.
*38th chapter of Ezekiel (Magog ancient name for Russia).
**Rev. 6:8.
INTERESTING NOTE: — ISRAEL SURVIVES THIS WAR!
AND BECAUSE ENGLAND FORGOT ABOUT GOD'S LITTLE-KNOWN PROMISE TO ISRAEL,
"I will bless them that bless thee and curse him that curseth thee."
Genesis 12:3
GOD HAS CURSED ENGLAND! SHE IS FINISHED AS A MAJOR POWER . . . BECAUSE SHE CROSSED THE JEWS.
CLINK!
BRITAIN

. . . AND TALKING OF RELIGIOUS CRANKS

IT would appear that religious cranks don't only reside in the United States. Israel has more than its fair share as well.

Last month, the Israeli Supreme Court decided whether the body of a woman illegally exhumed from her grave should be reburied in the same place, as her family demanded or interred elsewhere as the rabbis in her town insisted upon.

The rabbis maintained that Theresa Angelovitch, who died more than a year ago, was a Christian and was therefore not entitled to be buried in the Jewish cemetery of Rishon Lezion in the first place.

Her daughter, Mrs Adina Harpaz, insisted that her mother, who emigrated from Romania to Israel, lived and died as a Jewess and should be allowed to stay in the Jewish cemetery.

The body of Mrs Angelovitch had been dug up by a group of ultra-Orthodox Jewish extremists. It was later found in a plastic bag by children playing in a nearby Muslim cemetery.

Meanwhile, a strange Hebrew cult, whose followers smoke pot, eat raw meat and always face towards the sun, even if it means walking backwards, is being held responsible for dozens of recent terrorist attacks against Arab and Christian property in Jerusalem.

The four members of the Tribe of Judah seemed harmless enough to neighbours when they moved into a roofless stone house on Jerusalem's northern slopes. They studied biblical tracts under a fig tree and tended three goats and a white donkey while waiting for their Messiah to arrive.

Last month, though, the police arrived first. They discovered a cave that members of the cult had dug to hide hundreds of grenades, mines, explosives and ammunition, all apparently stolen from the Israeli army. The police promptly arrested three of the tribe including the leader Judeah, who had often been seen riding through Jerusalem on the cult's donkey, with a woman in long, biblical robes sitting behind.

It was a miracle, police say, that only three people were injured in the midnight bombings which the tribe is accused of carrying out over the past three months.

Their first exploit was an unsuccessful bid to blow up the Muslim shrines on Temple Mount, after which they hit Arab and Christian shrines all over Jerusalem.

Police are still searching for the fourth cult member who, presumably, has found it easier to run away if he stops walking backwards.

WORLD AFFAIRS

THE COMMON MARKET - THREATENING BRITISH FREEDOM

SINCE the start of this year, the Common Market has been progressively eroding the sovereignty of its individual member states. In particular, two recent decisions by the EEC will cause alarm to all those who fear the establishment of a 'One World' state in which individual nations effectively cease to exist.

The first decision was finalised in January, when the Common Market succeeded in abolishing almost all remaining tariff barriers between EEC members and members of the European Free Trade Association(EFTA).

The Common Market and EFTA are Western Europe's two main economic groups and, following the decision to abolish tariff barriers, they have become the world's largest free trade area for industrial goods. The European Community and the seven countries of the European Free Trade Association now form an industrial market for more than 300 million people.

TARIFF CUTS

The final tariff cuts in January mark the end of a decade of efforts by EFTA and the Community to scrap import duties on industrial goods ranging from steel to textiles.

The new 17-nation zone includes all the main industrial countries of Western Europe except Spain, which has applied for Community membership. Efta comprises Austria, Iceland, Norway, Portugal, Sweden and Switzerland, with Finland as an associate member.

Put simply, the abolition of tariff barriers means that none of the nations of Western Europe, including Britain, can protect their hard-pressed industries from unfair foreign competition. Without doubt, this decision will prove disastrous for British industry and effectively means that the nations of Europe have lost their economic freedom.

However, an even more ominous decision was taken by the European Parliament during February, a decision which threatens the *political* freedom of Common Market countries.

COMMON CITIZENSHIP

The decision, which was passed by a large majority. includes provision for common citizenship, the phasing out of national vetos and greatly increased powers for the European Parliament over the Parliaments of individual member nations.

The terms of this draft treaty are largely the work of veteran Italian Communist supporter, Altiero Spinelli, a former EEC commissioner. His version of a united Europe would drastically curtail the powers of national governments.



The European Parliament threatens Britain's National Sovereignty

Member states would lose their jealously guarded ability to veto Common Market decision which they considered to be against the national interest. They would also have to surrender much of their power to the European Parliament.

This latest decision by the European Parliament is nothing less than an attempt to destroy the national sovereignty of member countries. Now, more than ever, the choice for the British nation regarding the Common Market is clear. Either get out or go under!

DIRTY TRICKS- EVIDENCE MOUNTING

MORE evidence of the use of "dirty tricks" by the police forces of so-called democratic Western countries has come to light. The offenders this time are the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Canada's national security service.

The RCMP filed false income tax returns and forged letters in attempts to discredit people it regarded as "subversives", according to a Royal Commission report.

A special operations unit of the force forged and sent false income tax returns in the names of political dissidents. The aim was to make the Inland Revenue become suspicious and launch troublesome investigations into their affairs.

Letters were also sent to radical groups to create internal dissension forces. The report goes on to describe how the Mounties regularly opened post addressed to "subversives", as well as breaking into offices to obtain files and other information.

It is of course well known that this sort of activity is not confined to Canada, but it is not often that it is exposed to the full light of day by such an authoritative body as a Royal Commission.

THE CHINESE CONNECTION

SIR Yue-Kong Pao first made an appearance in *Nationalism Today* (issue No.13), when we revealed that he was perhaps the key link-man between International Capitalism and the Chinese wing of International Communism.

Now some more information about Pao has come to our attention.

Pao was born in 1918 of middle-class parents and after a brief formal education became a bank-clerk. In 1949 he fled Red China and settled in Hong Kong.

He soon set up his own import/export business and in 1955 founded the ambitiously-named World-Wide Shipping company with a single tramp steamer. Today not only is he Chairman of World-Wide Shipping, but he is the biggest ship-owner in the Capitalist world, operating huge super-tanker fleets to every part of the globe.

Sir Yue-Kong Pao's money is also diversified into all the leading Hong Kong enterprises through his investment company, World International (Holdings) Ltd.

He is Chairman of the H.K. and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Co., and a director of the Hang Seng Bank, Mass Transit Railway Corporation, H.K. Electric, the South China Morning Post, Cathay Pacific Airways, and Inchcape Far East Ltd.

He is also Deputy-Chairman of the Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation – the HKSBC. (The Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation controls more than half of Hong Kong's banking deposits. It also issues all of Hong Kong's bank notes and has large shareholdings in most of the important companies based there).

His influence is courted by the rich and famous all over the world, and has led to friendships with the likes of Margaret Thatcher (as we demonstrated in *NT* No.13) and David Rockefeller – Pao is also a member of Chase Manhattan's International Committee and a trustee of Rockefeller University.

But as we have seen, Pao is also friendly with Red China Leader, Deng Xiaoping and is as at home in the company of top Communists as he is with his fellow-Capitalists.

There can be no doubt about it, Sir Yue-Kong Pao, C.B.E., J.P., is a very important man. **He is the key link-pin between the forces of Capitalism and Communism in the Far East, and serves only to bring those two – essentially similar – systems together to pursue their common aim: the creation of a One World State.**

NATIONAL FRONT NEWS

Send 30p for a sample copy of *NF News* to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

HOWARD HAWKSLEY

REVOLUTION AND THE JEWS

"Besides, we owe so much to the Jews. Not to mention Heine and Borne, Marx was of purely Jewish blood. Lassalle was a Jew. Many of our best people are Jews.
FRIEDRICH ENGELS

WHEN, in the middle ages, the Christian Church forbade its followers from practising usury the Jews were left with an almost free hand to drive a financial wedge into European communities. From the very beginning mutual hostility between the Jews and their host communities was common. In England as early as the twelfth century stories of Jewish misdeeds were widespread. For instance, the *Anglo Saxon Chronicle*, under the entry for the year 1137, tells of a ritual murder by the Jews of Norwich.

During the crusades, many knights, needing finance for their journey to the Holy Land, found themselves borrowing money from Jews – the very people they saw as the persecutors of Christ. The crusading armies took vengence upon the Jewish settlements they found upon their travels. The French crusading song *'Condicio - Onacio - Mane Prima'* gives an insight into the contempt with which the Jews were held.

"O nation of unspeakable kind why do you abuse the gift of God's grace? You fall through manifold guilt while you embrace the letter of the law and you neglect the essence of its meaning. Perfidious people, blinded by your sin."

ISOLATED

Successful but isolated from the communities in which they lived; resented and occasionally persecuted, the Jew survived in Europe for many centuries. All their experiences must have had a great effect on the collective psyche of this people. This, combined with the historical and religious opinion they held of themselves as a 'chosen people' would have given each and every Jew – no matter what his economic status – a sense of individuality apart from the rest of mankind.

This was the background from which the phenomenon of the Jewish revolutionary arose. In Germany there were the likes of Moses Hess, Karl Marx, Ferdinand Lassalle (founder of the first German workers' Organisation) Rosa Luxemburg (founder of the German Communist Party) and Kurt Eisner, the head of the ill-fated Munich Soviet Republic. In France, leading revolutionaries included Bernard Lazare and Leon Blum. In Hungry the leader of the short-lived Red Revolution was the Jew Bela Kun. In Russia both the Menshevick and Bolshevik factions were top heavy with Jews.

There can be no real doubt about the prominence of Jewish individuals in the revolutionary movement. But there has been some debate about their motivations.

The popular theory, typified by Robert Wistrich in his book *'Revolutionary Jews from Marx to Trotsky'*, argues that because of the unique experiences of the Jewish peoples it bred a special type of middle class intellectual. Their concern for humankind as a whole caused them to reject their backgrounds and to even be intolerant of the idea of a separate identity for Jews outside of a worldwide Socialist Utopia. This being so they rejected any form of Zionism and reserved the same kind of distaste for Jewish bankers and capitalists as they did for their gentile counter-parts.

ANTI–SEMITIC

This argument, taken in isolation, seems quite credible, particularly when the blatant anti-semitic writings of these Jewish socialists are considered. But the theory is found lacking because it plays down or ignores the very real capitalist and Zionist links many of these revolutionaries actually maintained throughout their political lives.

In the nineteenth century the picture of a Jew as a parasitic money grabber was a widespread belief. Consequently the Jewish revolutionaries went to extremes to either hide their origins or condemn their co-religionists. Marx himself was typical, he equated the spirit of capitalism with the spirit of Judaism, whilst at the same time being sensitive enough to conceal his own ancestry. This, however, did not preclude him from associating with Jewish bankers and Zionists. Apart from Engels, Marx received financial assistance from his maternal Uncle, the banker Leon Philips (Grandfather of the founder of the famous Philips Electrical company). He was also aided by Moses Hess whose 'communism' did not prevent him from writing *Rome and Jerusalem*, one of the seminal works of Zionism. Hess helped Marx get the editor's job on a German left wing paper, the *Rheiniche Zeitung*.

Another leading German Jewish socialist Eduard Bernstein, the 'father of Revisionism', found his anti-Zionism and concern for the international proletariat let down by his obvious Zionist connections. He was a regular contributer to the 'Sozialistishce Monatshekte', which was edited by his friend Joseph Bloch, a fervent Zionist. Bernstein even had contacts with Chaim Weizmann, the man who in later life became famous as the Zionist leader.

In 1878 Bismark's anti-socialist laws led Bernstein to emigrate to Switzerland where he became the private Secretary of a certain Karl Hochberg. Hochberg was the son of a Jewish banker who gave very generous donations to the socialist Cause.

BIG GUNS

The really big guns of international finance showed their loyalties during the upheavals of the revolutionary period in Russia. The Rothschilds backed the menshevik provisional government whilst Kuhn and Loeb led the field in financing the Bolsheviks.

It is interesting to note the attitudes of the *Jewish Chronicle* towards the Bolshevik Revolution. In Britain at that time the facts about Jewish involvement were fairly widely known in educated circles. There was even a section of the popular press that held what could be termed anti-semitic views. Notable among these papers was the *Morning Post*, and there were some very lively exchanges between it and the *Jewish Chronicle* over the nature of the revolution.

The *Morning Post* argued the case that a wider Jewish conspiracy was involved. The *Chronicle*, while admitting the Jewish contribution nevertheless vigorously denied the conspiratorial theory. Ultimately, however, the *Chronicle* exhibited self doubt about its sincerity. After all, the Russian Jews had been persecuted citizens under the Czar's rule, so why shouldn't the power of world Jewry be brought to bear to overthrow it? An article the *Chronicle* printed on 4.4.1919 ended up by acknowledging that "there is much in the fact of Bolshevism, in the fact that so many Jews are Bolshevists, in the fact that the ideals of Bolshevism, at many points, are consonant with the finest ideals of Judaism."

NEW STATUS

The new status that the Jews had won for themselves in Russia was openly discussed on the *Chronicle* pages. The 6.1.1933. edition informs us that "an inflated overcrowded and abnormal Jewish class of employees and officials has grown up. – "The outstanding urban character of the Jews has asserted itself also in the accomplished social change. Over one third of the Jews have become officials."



Karl Marx & Leon Trotsky

Was it just a coincidence that both these leading Communists were Jewish ?

The 'Honeymoon' was soon over. Jewish dominance did decline, no doubt helped along by Stalin's purges after out manoevering Trotsky. The *Jewish Chronicle's* attitudes changed at the same time, demonstrates that blood is thicker than political allegiance. The Jewish capitalist and Jewish socialist, despite their avowed differences, exhibit a type of bond that is unknown between the gentile radical and conservative.

The recent activities of the Socialist Workers Party – whose leadership is the most heavily Jewish of all the present day British Marxist groups – serves to reinforce this point. When Jewish Businessmen set up the Anti-Nazi League, the SWP virtually suspended its other political activities to run it. So the rank and file 'class warriors' of that party gave up temporarily the fight against the 'capitalist tyranny' to do their Jewish masters' bidding in persecuting the Nationalist movement.

In conclusion it must be recognised that the original hostility shown by the European peoples to the Jewish presence and activities, and the Jewish reaction which culminated in their desire to undermine their hosts' culture are both understandable. If we are to truly comprehend the Jewish role in history we must first understand the conditions and environment that gave birth to their socially destructive nature.

ADRIAN WILTSHIRE

YESTERDAY'S HEROES



Five of the Tolpuddle Martyrs - James Brine, Thomas Stanfield, John Stanfield, James Loveless and George Loveless

IT IS the 1800's and large sections of Britain's working folk are being drawn to the industrial centres that emerged after the Industrial Revolution. Many had tried to resist the victory of 'machine over man'. In riots, factories and mills were occupied and new machinery was destroyed. But, boss and machine were united, the Luddites had lost the fight. Workers in these Industrial towns found comradeship, amongst each other, as they shared the same fate, a miserable existence of over-crowding, poverty and squalor from which only death could release them.

Many Trade Union leaders had been imprisoned because the ruling Establishment feared a revolution similar to that in France. William Pitt, Prime Minister, and William Wilberforce who had both been instrumental in the abolition of the Black slave trade were now instrumental in introducing the Combination Act. This act made it illegal for British Workers to organise themselves in Trade Unions. Many former unions continued to exist under the guise of Friendly Societies; they used their subscriptions to help members who were out of work, ill or too old to work. Due to mass resistance, to the Combination Act, the ruling Establishment was forced to repeal it, but strike action was outlawed.

FOURTEEN HOUR DAY

Life for their country cousins was no better. Agricultural Workers were forced to work a fourteen hour day with a seven mile trek there and back. A pitiful wage was often reduced by deductions for food and transport. Breakfast consisted of flour with a little butter and water added. He took with him to the field a piece of bread to eat at mid-day. He returned home to his family who were forced to live in one room ten feet square with a single window fifteen inches square. The table is set for a supper of a few potatoes and a little bacon, as they are better off than most.

From this background came a small group of farm labourers who decided to form a branch of the Grand National Consolidated Trade Union (G.N.C.T.U) at Tolpuddle in Dorset. The G.N.C.T.U. had been set up by Robert Owen, a self-made man, who saw the only hope for Workers to have any influence over their own destiny, was to unite in one giant union. Many employers saw this as a real threat and reacted vigorously with lock-outs and sackings. Many presented their employees with the 'document', a paper declaring that they were not members of a union, which if they refused to sign would mean their dismissal.



CAUTION.

WHEREAS it has been represented to us from several quarters, that mischievous and designing Persons have been for some time past, endeavouring to induce, and have induced, many Labourers in various Parishes in this County, to attend Meetings, and to enter into Illegal Societies or Unions, to which they bind themselves by unlawful oaths, administered secretly by Persons concealed, who artfully deceive the ignorant and unwary,—WE, the undersigned Justices think it our duty to give this PUBLIC NOTICE and CAUTION, that all Persons may know the danger they incur by entering into such Societies.

ANY PERSON who shall become a Member of such a Society, or take any Oath, or assent to any Test or Declaration not authorized by Law—

Any Person who shall administer, or be present at, or consenting to the administering or taking any Unlawful Oath, or who shall cause such Oath to be administered, although not actually present at the time—

Any Person who shall not reveal or discover any Illegal Oath which may have been administered, or any Illegal Act done or to be done—

Any Person who shall induce, or endeavour to persuade any other Person to become a Member of such Societies,

WILL BECOME

Guilty of Felony,

AND BE LIABLE TO BE

Transported for Seven Years.

ANY PERSON who shall be compelled to take such an Oath, unless he shall declare the same within four days, together with the whole of what he shall know touching the same, will be liable to the same Penalty.

Any Person who shall directly or indirectly maintain correspondence or intercourse with such Society, will be deemed Guilty of an Unlawful Combination and Confederacy, and on Conviction before one Justice, on the Oath of one Witness, be liable to a Penalty of TWENTY POUNDS, or to be committed to the Common Gaol or House of Correction, for THREE CALENDAR MONTHS; or if proceeded against by Indictment, may be CONVICTED OF FELONY, and be TRANSPORTED FOR SEVEN YEARS.

Any Person who shall knowingly permit any Meeting of any such Society to be held in any House, Building, or other Place, shall for the first offence be liable to the Penalty of FIVE POUNDS; and for every other offence committed after Conviction, be deemed Guilty of such Unlawful Combination and Confederacy, and on Conviction before one Justice, on the Oath of one Witness, be liable to a Penalty of TWENTY POUNDS, or to Commitment to the Common Gaol or House of Correction, FOR THREE CALENDAR MONTHS; or if proceeded against by Indictment may be

CONVICTED OF FELONY,

And Transported for SEVEN YEARS.

COUNTY OF DORSET, Dorchester Division.

February 28th, 1834.

C. B. WOLLASTON,
JAMES FRAMPTON,
WILLIAM ENGLAND,
THOS. DADE,
JNO. MORTON COLSON,
HENRY FRAMPTON,
RICHD. TUCKER STEWARD,
WILLIAM R. CHURCHILL,
AUGUSTUS FOSTER.

Warning notices, like that above, threatened workers considering joining a Trade Union

An informer told magistrates about the plan to set up a G.N.C.T.U. branch and on Monday, 17th March, 1834 six labourers were arrayed in the dock, close cropped like criminals and charged with taking an illegal oath. When men joined a union they took an oath of initiation, and the Dorsetshire magistrages decided this was illegal.

Before sentence was declared thay were asked if they had anything to say. George Loveless, leader of the six, wrote on a piece of paper:

"My Lord, if we have violated any law, it was not done intentionally, we have injured no man's reputation, character, person or property, we were uniting ourselves, our wives and our children, from utter degradation and starvation."

SEVEN YEAR SENTENCE

Judgement was deferred for two days, until March 19th. The six men were again brought to the bar of the court. They were found guilty and sentenced to seven years transportation to Tasmania. The magistrates made it clear they were being punished as an example to others and to suppress Trade Unionism.

Public outcry at the trial and sentence was vigorous. It was noted that Freemasons, who did administer 'illegal oaths' were not proceeded against! Robert Owen, himself, headed a national campaign to secure a pardon, but Melbourne, the Home Secretary, refused to be moved and ordered the military and newly-formed Metropolitan Police to suppress the masses. Owen's campaign failed and the G.N.C.T.U. collapsed due to Establishment repression. However, continued protests from radical lawyers and certain M.P.'s led to the 'Tolpuddle Martyrs' receiving a pardon in 1836 – although the Government did not tell the men in Tasmania of this. Loveless accidentally read about the pardon in a newspaper which came out to Tasmania. In 1839, the men came home to a heroes welcome from the British people. Small farms were purchased for them from a public fund that had been launched on their behalf by union leaders.

On this, the 150th anniversary of the Tolpuddle Martyrs, British workers would do well to remember the sacrifices that were made to see ordinary working folk given the right to organise. It was the remarkable bravery of certain individuals, and a concerted will to win, that gained us these victories. Today we must recognise that if these gains are not to be lost we must again take up the challenge, presented by the ruling Establishment and show that the warrior blood of our forefathers still courses through our veins.

TRADE UNIONISTS!

Keep Industrial Front informed! Send all Trade Union papers and journals to: N.T. (Industrial Front), 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

HUGH SMYTH - DEPUTY LORD MAYOR OF BELFAST

TWO FROM

HUGH SMYTH was elected Deputy Lord Mayor of Belfast last year. He has been a local councillor for over 10 years and represents the North Belfast constituency on Belfast City Council. He is also leader of the Progressive Unionist Party.

Hugh Smyth has been very active in the campaign for the segregation of Loyalist Prisoners. He was also one of the main speakers at a march and rally in support of segregation held in Belfast last year.

Whilst many NF members will not wholeheartedly agree with all of Hugh Smyth's comments, we believe that his views represent a section of contemporary Loyalist thought.

***Nationalism Today* is therefore pleased to be able to publish the following exclusive interview with Hugh Smyth, which we believe, will go a long way to stimulate further debate on the Ulster question.**

As Deputy Lord Mayor of Belfast, what are your main functions and duties and how are you using your influence to further the Loyalist Cause?

As Deputy Lord Mayor of Belfast, my main functions and duties require me to work closely with the Lord Mayor of Belfast. I assist with, and attend many civic functions in aid of organisations and charities etc., which promote community harmony and welfare.

I regard the post as one that transcends party politics during my year of office. Like the Lord Mayor, we feel that we are elected by the city fathers to carry out those duties and to support a wide cross section of opinion on many issues that affect the lives and livelihoods of Belfast citizens.

You are the only Belfast Councillor to run an advice centre. Why is this – and what problems do you have to solve for the local Working Class?

I have always maintained a full time advice centre, although this past year as Deputy Lord Mayor has undoubtedly upset many plans at a constituency level. On that particular last point of your question, I find many working class issues remaining unresolved in Northern Ireland.

Unfortunately, many issues fall at the feet of the Government at Westminster.

In 1984, the unemployment figure stands at well over three million. In 1948, it stood at 309,000. Clearly Central Government must redress that situation.

We have been asserting for some time now, that unemployment will continue, maybe even at a higher level than now as computerised systems and robotics continue to develop. It is a serious situation where the present Government seeks to reduce benefits in order to force people into lower paid 'jobs' that don't even exist.

How do you regard the new Ulster Assembly?

My Party negotiated with the British Government on the setting up of the Northern Ireland Assembly. We were the forerunners of Democratic Devolution. We had meetings with various Secretaries of State and attended other important meetings throughout the United Kingdom in efforts to secure an acceptable devolved administration that would serve all the community.

I think the Government did not make enough effort to broaden the committee system. We had suggested a 120 seat Assembly, with twelve committees of ten, or vice-versa. I notice recently that prominent people have mentioned broadening the administration, but it may be too late. If the Government had implemented our proposals, together with joint Chairmanship of the Committees, I think it would have succeeded. I will expand that point . . .

If a Committee Chairman had been from the major Assembly Party, then the vice Chairman could have been from an Opposition Party. I think the S.D.L.P. may have found it difficult internationally, to stay out of that kind of arrangement without appearing blatantly intransigent. However, I think the chance has been lost and our Party leans more to a form of integration within the United Kingdom, with an essential ingredient of full representation by the British Political Parties in this area of the United Kingdom.

Already there are signs that the British Labour Party are preparing to extend membership to the region of Northern Ireland, in the same way as they rightfully extend it to Wales and Scotland. I think that Labour, under Neil Kinnock, will concentrate on regional policies, equally, I would expect the British Conservative. Party to open a regional office here in Ulster.



Hugh Smyth

What is your attitude towards the Loyalist Prisoners and how do you view the 'Supergrass' System?

I will try and answer this question without separating your two points. Many Loyalist Prisoners, like other Prisoners in Northern Ireland,. are victims of a draconian system implemented in the name of 'Prevention of Terrorism'.

The 'Supergrass System', of 'Strategy', is purely an extension of the Diplock Courts procedures. In Northern Ireland, a man is guilty until he can prove his innocence. In the Supergrass Cases it is not possible for persons to prove their innocence. The 'Bennett' case in particular, proved that a person of most doubtful character, who was involved in murder and a host of other crimes, could be the sole influence over one of our Judges. However, at the end of the day, I think that there is a distinct possibility that sentences upon those who have signed statements incriminating themselves will stand, but there will be more successful appeals in the other cases. You may think that I am giving the authorities an honourable way through the system, but I think that is what will happen.

How do you view Communist/Marxist organisations such as the IRA and INLA? What steps would you like to be taken to defeat Irish Republicanism?

There are no steps that can be taken to defeat Irish Republicanism. It has been around a long time and will still be around long after we are all in the hereafter. Equally, I have to stress that there is no way that there can be a military victory over Ulster Loyalist's. That is the entrenched position.

That short summary may dismay you to some extent, because it suggests an unending struggle. That may be the case, but I am an optimist, even after all these years of strife. I believe that the IRA thinks that military victory is possible, but I do not think that the whole Republican movement believe that now. There were some distinct differences of opinion at the last Sinn Fein Ard Fheis and I think that those differences will grow as the stalemate continues.

How do you stand on national issues such as the Common Market, Unemployment, Overseas Aid and Immigration?

I have mentioned employment earlier. We are opposed to Britain's membership of the Common Market and not without reason. A colleague once asked me to write down all the benefits of Common Market membership and I could not write down a single word. You see, it is difficult to find any benefit in a system where you pay in far more than you get out. There is no-one who has yet been able to give me a reason why money should not go direct to the regions.

I know that the whole nation is divided on this issue, but as time goes on there will be more hostility to the system, if not the membership.

I, at one time wondered why we sent aid to overseas countries until I realised that almost every country in the world gives some form of aid to another country. I cannot elaborate further without seeing confidential cabinet papers.

On immigration, we in Northern Ireland do not have the same experiences as people living on the mainland. Frankly, we see the immigrant question as one that is directed at 'Blacks' and no-one else. I would have thought that those who bomb the mainland, killing and maiming, would be far more dangerous to your community.

VIEWS ULSTER

DAVID KERR INDEPENDENT UNIONIST

DAVID KERR is an Ulster Loyalist who has gradually become disillusioned with the actions of the British Monarchy. He is the Secretary of the North Belfast Independent Unionist Association. He has submitted this article to stimulate debate in Nationalist and Loyalist circles on the future constitution of the United Kingdom.

Do you think Thatcher eventually aims to sell-out Ulster? If so, how do you think Ulster Loyalists will react?

Personally, I do not think Thatcher intends to push us out of the United Kingdom, but I think that she is well aware of talks on joint sovereignty over Northern Ireland by both Dublin and Westminster. That would be disastrous. At the moment we are compiling a paper on joint sovereignty, including those 'experiments' in the Sudan and Nigeria. I don't need to tell you that they led to 'joint withdrawal'.

In issue 18 of *Nationalism Today* we featured an interview with George Seawright, the DUP Ulster Assembly and Belfast City Council member. Do you feel such interviews are important in conveying the Loyalist message?

I am not a Nationalist and the Party which I represent is not a Nationalist Party. I listened to the Queen's speech and I recall clearly her opposition to Nationalism and her suggesting moves towards inter-dependence. I think it was Alex Douglas Hume who 'invented' it, when referring to joint British American control over nuclear weapons, as opposed to an independent British weapons system. I would like to speak to those who wrote her speech.

Many National Front members have been injured/arrested whilst physically attacking IRA marches, and meetings. *Nationalism Today* has spoken out on many occasions for the LPoW's. How do you regard the NF and *Nationalism Today*? What else can we do on the mainland to promote Ulster's Cause?

If you physically attack anything, you must expect the forces of Law to react and arrest you. Once again you have raised a point which highlights the double standards operating in the United Kingdom. If you compare the attacks on Ulster Citizens with those made upon organisations on the mainland, you will realize how fortunate you are in not living here.

In Northern Ireland you can be shot dead for lesser crimes. We have one instance of a young man being shot in the back as he ran away from a chip shop. Quite a number of people, including Loyalists, have been shot dead over the past fourteen years because, it was thought at the time, they were breaking the law.

The past fourteen years are littered with apologies for mistakes. What I am saying is that there is a price to pay in every political struggle and sometimes mistakes are made. I think it is very important that your organisation and anyone else for that matter, should concentrate on our Democratic and logical ideas of proper representation for the people of Northern Ireland and the extension of full British rights and justice to this region of the United Kingdom.

TRADITIONALLY, British Nationalists and Ulster Loyalists have had a very high regard for the institution of the Monarchy. This is reflected in the National Front's 1983 Election Manifesto which expresses the belief that, "the Royal Family can be an important symbol of the nation as a family and the continuity of the nation". The first Chairman of the NF, the late AK Chesterton, saw the British Crown as a "supreme unifying factor" for White Unity throughout most of world.

Slogans of loyalty to the Queen appear on countless gable-end walls all over Ulster. Loyalists, who normally despise Westminster governments, whether Tory or Labour, have always claimed loyalty to the Queen and to the British Crown. Treacherous politicians come and go, but the Queen is always there – constitutionally "above politics".

The Queen

Any Nationalist who heard the Queen's 1983 Christmas Day speech will undoubtedly have been dismayed to hear their Soverign say,

"The greatest problem in the world today remains the gap between rich and poor countries and we shall not begin to close this gap until we hear less about nationalism and more about interdependence.

"One of the main aims of the Commonwealth is to make an effective contribution towards redressing the economic balance between nations.

"What we want to see is still more modern technology being used by poorer countries to provide employment and to produce primary products and components which will be bought in turn by the richer countries at competitive prices."

Are these the sentiments we expect from our Head of State, the symbol of our national unity? Can we swear loyalty to a person who advocates impoverishing our nation and removing what remains of our industrial capacity to some "underdeveloped Nation"?

EXCUSES

Nationalists and Loyalists have usually tended to make excuses for the Queen. They blame her advisors, speech writers and Government ministers for the One-World, left-liberal sentiments in her public pronouncements. Few, if any, have dared to criticise the Queen herself, somehow considering it "unpatriotic".

In January, the Official Unionist MP, Enoch Powell, spoke out against the anti-White bias of certain Royal speeches although he was careful to disclaim all "disrespect or disloyalty to the Sovereign". Nevertheless, he was the victim of hysterical and vitriolic attacks from the pseudo-patriotic *"Daily Mail"*, liberal churchmen and many politicians.

Mr. Powell said that Ministers had placed in the Queen's mouth, words suggesting, "that she has the interests and affairs of other countries and continents as much or much more at heart than those of her own people, and that even here in the UK she is more concerned for the susceptibilities and prejudicies of a vociferous minority of newcomers than for the great mass of her subjects".

PERSONALLY RESPONSIBLE

The Queen's press secreatry, Michael Shea has since made it very clear that the Queen is *personally* responsible for the wording of her Christmas Broadcast. This shows how much Mr. Powell has done us all a service in exposing how much our Queen is personally committed to the race-mixing, liberal New World Order. It seems that far, from being a unifying symbol for the White Race, the Monarchy has degenerated into another factor in the forces opposing our National Freedom and separate racial existence.

Mr. Powell has obviously hit a sore point as he was attacked by the notoriously anti-British MP, Greville Janner. To Mr. Janner, (himself an alien with foreign loyalties), the Queen does "a first class job" in the UK and the Commonwealth.

In view of the above facts, and the friendship of the Prince of Wales with Armand Hammer, the Jewish Capitalist, pro-Soviet millionaire; perhaps the time has come for Nationalists to reassess their attitude to the Monarchy.

I would value the opinions of *Nationalism Today* readers.

Read **CANDOUR**
The magazine started by the National Front's first chairman, A. K. Chesterton, is now available from the Nationalist Bookshop. Send 50p for sample copy to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

DEREK HOLLAND

IRAN'S NATIONAL REVOLUTION

IN RECENT MONTHS the world has witnessed a dramatic escalation of the Gulf War between Iraq and Iran, an infantry war that is being fought on a scale not seen since World War 1. It is a war that has been a tragedy for both nations in human and material terms, it is a war that has divided the Arab and Islamic peoples at a time when unity of purpose and unity of action is essential if the strident imperialism of the Superpowers and Israel is to be successfully resisted.

But it is also a war that could have grave repercussions for the British people if the reactionary war-mongering regimes of Reagan and Thatcher are not restrained: In response to Ayatollah Khomeini's declaration that the Straits of Hormuz would be blockaded in the event of foreign interference in the war, the elective dictatorships of London and Washington have vowed "to do whatever is necessary to keep the oil routes open." This gross meddling in other people's business is not only fraught with danger, it is also the height of hypocrisy, for America and Britain are in no position to lecture others on how to build a prosperous and harmonious nation.

It is because there is a strong possibility that Britain may get embroiled in a bloody war, exposing our servicemen to great risk, that it is imperative that Revolutionary Nationalists possess a firm grasp of the situation in Iran and act accordingly.

UNDISGUISED HORROR

The overthrow of the Shah of Iran in 1979 by forces under the leadership of Imam Khomeini was greeted with undisguised horror by the Western World's Zionist-controlled media, for the demise of the Shah, the Bilderberger's nominee in the Gulf dealt a massive blow to the machinations and pretensions of the U.S government. The new, revolutionary administration was subjected to a campaign of vilification that sought to convince gullible Europeans that Khomeini was an unpopular, ruthless clergyman, subservient to the despots of the Kremlin. Not for nothing did the Iranian government dub the USA "The Great Satan".

At the same time the Marxist press was far from ecstatic. Whilst it hailed the downfall of the Shah's puppet tyranny, it bemoaned the efforts of so-called 'reactionary' elements that were hindering the establishment of a "progressive, socialist republic". **They were also acutely aware of the fact that the Iranian Communist Party, the Tudeh, far from controlling Khomeini's regime had played next to no part in the revolution – a fact openly confirmed in the December 1983 issue of** *Marxism Today*.

And since 1979 the media has maintained a barrage of reports, confusing and contradictory, that has obscured the fundamental realities of the situation. It is only now, five years on, that the political dust has settled sufficiently for Revolutionary Nationalists to evaluate the event objectively. This sentiment is reinforced by the clearly emerging and convergent theses of magazines as diverse as *Marxism Today*, *The New Statesman* and *The Times*. The first two journals have carried substantial articles concluding that "Iran's revolution has gone sour", whilst *The Times*, voicepiece of the Stock Exchange drones, berates the mullahs for not embracing the 'benefits' that consumer capitalism can bestow. **Thus, not for the first time, we find the reactionary ideologies of Capitalism and Communism standing shoulder to shoulder.**

What is it about the Islamic Republic that has caused these "class enemies" to unite? The answer is found permeating the Ayatollah's speeches: "We will not allow the Superpowers to intervene in the destinies of our country, to intervene in our army, in our culture, or in our economy". The Iranian administration's philosophy is embodied in their slogan: 'Neither East nor West' – it is an affirmation that Iranian cultural identity and national independence will not be submissive to alien power blocs. It is a view of the world which rejects the crass materialism and despiritualization of Yankee imperialism on the one hand and the exploitative brutality and tyranny of Soviet Communism on the other. It is the Iranian National Revolution.



Islamic Unity - the driving force of Iranian Nationalism

It is probable that the World Planners thought in 1979 that the new regime would be short-lived given the extreme instability of the region, but as the years have passed this has become an increasingly remote possibility. As the reality of this fact has sunk in in Washington, Moscow and Tel Aviv, the media has stepped up its propaganda initiatives: "the Iranian people are suffering terribly; the Shah's western-style democracy has given way to barbarian; discontent is rife; the Iranian people are looking to us for liberation." The purpose of this softening-up campaign is to acclimatise British people in such a way as to facilitate military intervention without any contingent, domestic upheaval.

The Iranian Revolution is far from perfect, since Man is far from perfect. The authorties may have used methods of which we would not approve; there are clearly many areas of ideological, historical, cultural and religious disagreement, but are they of such a magnitude that we ought to support armed intervention?

Looking briefly at the politics of the mullahs we find:

* **One of the first acts of the regime was the abolition by law of usury and the expulsion of all Zionist-Jews. The Central Bank is now issuing interest-free money, whilst the private banks and insurance companies have been nationalized. The importance of eliminating the finance parasites will not be lost on Revolutionary Patriots.**
* Agriculture has been recognised as essential to the health and prosperity of Iran. Land redistribution is taking place, millions of pounds have been expended on new agricultural machinery, training courses on the free distribution of 5 million tons of fertilizer; over £5 billion has been lent to farmers interest-free.
* **Despite the debilitating war with Iraq, the essentially nationalist economy of Iran has amassed a balance of payments surplus of $ 6 billion and industrial output has risen by 14%. Compare this with British capitalism.**
* The Tudeh Party has been banned. *Marxism Today* claims that many thouands have been imprisoned. In 1981 Ayatollah Behesti declared: "The history of the Tudeh Party is one of treason to Iran's Revolution".
* **The subversive sect of Iranian Freemasonry has been outlawed.**
* All trade with Israel and its agencies, initiated by the Shah, has ceased. The contents of the *Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion* have been widely disseminated.
* **The U.S. Embassy in Teheran has been made a Palestinian Embassy and a great volume of material support has been given to the Palestinian freedom movement. Ayatollah Khomeini made his views on Israel clear from the onset: "Israel, this parasite of corruption, has always been an American base. We should all rise up, destroy Israel and have it replaced by the heroic nation of Palastine".**
* The Afghan rebels have received material support for their just struggle against Soviet imperialism, whilst the 'Free West' has been generous with its soft soap diplomacy and 'strongly worded statements' to Moscow.

Such facts are rarely presented in the media, but they make this point clear: that British national interests overlap far more with Iranian ones than they do with either the USA, the USSR or the Common Market. This is not to minimize areas of fundamental disagreement, but to put them in perspective. Military intervention by reactionary western governments will not only destroy the substance of our genuine interests, but will also pave the way for the Superpower and Israeli imperialists to re-shackle Iran to the One World slave system. Such a course of action, aided by our appalling media, will help Reagan in an election year and give Thatcher another 'Falklands' boost, but it will do incalculable damage to our efforts to liberate Britain.

The Imam Khomeini declared in 1980: "We fully support all the countries in their struggle for the sake of gaining liberty and independence. Arise and remove the Superpowers from the scene of history."

We have mutual enemies, if somewhat divergent aims. Anti-imperialists and anti-Zionists must stand together. No to Washington! No to Moscow! No to the Warmongers! National Freedom for the enslaved nations of the world!

TOM ACTON

BRITISH INDUSTRY - FACING THE ABYSS

EVER SINCE the run-up to the last General Election Government Ministers and leading Tory spokesmen have been fond of making "light at the end of the tunnel" type speeches about Britain's economic future. The British economy, we are told, is poised for a period of sustained real growth, which will, at some unspecified future date, lead to a reduction in the length of the dole-queues.

It's not just Tory leaders who have promised good times ahead. Their allies in the world of big business have been following the same line – "Britain is on the brink of an economic miracle", claimed Walter Goldsmith, the retiring head of the Institute of Directors, recently.

The sad fact, however, is that any economic "boom" achieved under Thatcher's Government can only be as superficial as it is short-lived. A crucial factor in creating a sustained real improvement in Britain's economy is the amount of investment taking place in manufacturing industry – though it would be more accurate at present, to talk about the new investment that is **not** taking place in Britain's manufacturing industry.

DECLINE IN INVESTMENT

A stark picture of the decline in investment in British industry was painted in a little reported speech by Mr. Kit McMahon, the Deputy Governor of the Bank of England, to the Birmingham and Midlands Institute of Bankers. Mr. McMahon admitted that current levels of investment were unable to support any sustained expansion of business output. Net investment by commercial and industrial companies, other than those in the exceptional North Sea sector, had declined from 5% of their net capital stock in the mid-sixties, to less than 2% in the mid-seventies, and is now below 1%.

According to McMahon the decline in gross manufacturing investment has been so great that it no longer even covers the cost of depreciation on existing assets. McMahon concluded that "Net investment in manufacturing has been negative for the past three years".

This steady decline in investment has meant that the average rage of productivity growth in manufacturing industry fell from 3%pa in the 1960's, to 1.5%pa in the following decade. The much-vaunted increases in productivity over the last few years have largely been due to two main factors. High cost old factories and machinery have been shut down, and workforces have been ruthlessly pruned wherever possible, with new word practices being introduced. These "improvements" are at best one-off events. In future any sustained productivity gains can only come about from substantially increased investment.

The continuous decline in investment in British industry since the sixties has occurred as the result of a number of separate factors.

Firstly, companies have been reinvesting a steadily decreasing proportion of their profits, preferring to pay unjustifiably high dividends to their shareholders. This has largely been due to the desire of company managements to maintain a good image with their shareholders, over two decades of decreasing profitability in manufacturing industry.

Secondly, the recent high rates of real interest have made companies loath to risk borrowing funds to finance expansion. Consequently many new investment plans which are expected to yield only moderate profits have been shelved – the capital costs simply outweigh any likely future gains.

SPECULATION

Thirdly, the Stock Exchange is wholly failing to meet industry's needs for new outside finance. Short – term speculation, rather than long-term investment, is now the name of the game on the stock market. An indication of just how concerned the Stock Exchange really is with providing investment funds for British industry can be gained by the following statement by Sir Nicholas Goodison, the Chairman of the Stock Exchange, recently – "The word casino is totally inappropriate to the Stock Exchange – there isn't enough speculation in it." Goodison wants to divert even more funds into short-term speculation by offering a new form of 'investment', which will be similar to a type of bet offered by Ladbrokes. Under Goodison's scheme funds, instead of being in companies, or Government stock, will be gambled on whether the Stock Exchange share index goes up or down.

In response to the above factors which have so drastically curtailed new investment in our industries the National Front – and only the National Front – has advanced the industrial and financial policies necessary to bring about a genuine "economic miracle".

Firstly, the current system of industrial ownership means that those who work for a company rarely have any stake in its ownership. This has been a major cause of the low level of re-investment of profits over the last two decades. Company managements have been under pressure to keep up dividend levels despite falling profits, in order to maintain the goodwill of their shareholders. As a result profits in relation to dividends fell to an all time low by the early 1980's.

Company shareholders have had no real motivation to oppose management's dividend policies. After all, except in small, usually family firms, a shareholder will rarely have any personal long-term interest in the company he is investing in. As long as he gets his dividend today, why should he worry that low re-investment may harm the company's long-term prospects. As long as the dividend holds up so, normally, will the share price, and if a few clouds appear on the corporate horizon, it only takes a quick call to his stockbroker for the shareholder to sell out.

Contrast this with the National Front's policy on industrial ownership, which seeks the widest possible introduction of workers co-operatives in industry. Under such a system it is those who work in a company who actually own it.

Obviously no system of industrial ownership is full-proof against human greed and folly. If the members of a co-operative decided to spend all their profits as they arose, and to invest nothing in new plant and factories etc, then doubtless they would eventually have to face the consequences of their actions. However economic pressures would generally tend to encourage sensible re-investment policies – it would, after all, be the owner/workers' own jobs and futures which would be at stake. This is the complete opposite of the present system where economic pressures are all on the side of short-sighted greed.

CREDIT CREATION

Secondly, only the National Front recognises that the main cause of the current unrealistic and unjustifiably high rates of interest lies in the role of the banks in the creation of new credit. The National Front will nationalise the banks, to ensure that the creation of new credit is permenantly vested in the state, ending the present inflationary system where money is lent, interest-bearing, into circulation by the banks, instead credit will be spent, interest-free, into circulation by the Government.

Thirdly, the National Front is committed to the abolition of the Stock Exchange. The new system of providing finance for industry which the NF will introduce was detailed in an article by Ian Anderson in issue 13 of *Nationalism Today* – an article to which all readers are advised to refer. Briefly, however, the following sources of finance will be open to all co-operatives, family firms, and all other businesses unable to generate internally the capital for their needs:-



British industry – has it a future?

i) From the state, which would be responsible for investing State Pension Fund money, as well as savings voluntarily invested by the public in Government-managed Investment Funds.

ii) Directly from the public, but on greatly different terms from the present. Instead of buying shares in an enterprise, which confer control over it, the public will only be able to loan money to it. Control will still lie with the enterprise's workforce. To prevent a speculative market arising loans would not be transferable from one individual to another, and investors will generally have to commit their funds for a set period.

Under the present industrial and financial regime British industry is virtually the least profitable place to invest money. Only when a National Front Government is in power and able to implement the above policies can this situation be reversed. Only then will we see the steady erosion of Britain's industrial base halted, only then can we possibly hope to give a real hope of a decent future to the three and a half million Britons currently rotting on the dole.

Steve Brady

RACE: What the Scientists Say

GREAT RACIAL SCIENTISTS

4. WESLEY C. GEORGE



AMONGST those who have laboured valiantly to disseminate the scientific truth about racial differences, the name of Professor Wesley Critz George is well deserving of honour.

Professor George enjoyed a distinguished academic career, winning a Ph.D in Zoology, being for many years Professor of Histology and Embryology at the University of North Carolina Medical School's Department of Anatomy. In that capacity, he contributed impressively to the education and training of many thousands of doctors throughout the United States.

And it was to this learned human anatomist that the Governor of the State of Alabama turned for the truth, when confronted with sundry United Nations Declarations on Race and the opinions of assorted sociologists and "cultural anthropologists". The U.S. Federal Government used these to unleash combat troops on the White working people of the Deep South in a bid to impose forcible race-mixing upon them.

Beginning with the notorious Supreme Court decision in Brown vs. Board of Education in 1954, the central government in Washington trampled down the States' Rights enshrined in the original U.S. Constitution in a bid to force "integration" on the people of the southern states. The first young Blacks were escorted by Federal Soldiers into the White schools they have since taken over and terrorised. In Little Rock, Arkansas, in 1957, poor White farmers and working men defending their way of life, were smashed aside by men of the crack 44th Airborne Division, rifles ready, bayonets fixed, sent on the personal orders of President Eisenhower. As the Sixties dawned, mobs of Negroes led by half-castes and New York Jews rampaged the South, rooting out every last trace of White identity in the feverish quest for "equality" which was to reduce America to its present degraded pass.

INTEGRATIONIST HYSTERIA

It was in this atmosphere of integrationist hysteria that the State Government of beleaguered Alabama asked Professor George to prepare an impartial, scientifically accurate, but also generally intelligible, report on the facts of Race and racial equality – and inequality. The resulting official report, *The Biology of the Race Problem*, was published in 1962.

Twenty-two years later, this report retains its accuracy and relevance. Though many scientists have amplified and expanded upon the findings it cites, Professor George's work retains its essential validity today. Combining factual accuracy with clear understandability to the ordinary man in the street, *The Biology of the Race Problem* **remains easily the best short introduction to the Race question for the ordinary reader.**

Professor George makes crystal clear what the genuine experts on the field of Race have always known: that the mental, as well physical, differences between the races of Man are substantial and primarily inherited. Thus attempts to simply ignore these hard, scientific realities and impose "racial equality" by forced racial integration, will always fail as they have always done. Politically, we may feel a stable multiracial society is undesirable, Scientifically, factually, as Professor George ably demonstrates, it is impossible.

Professor George's booklet opens with a sound explanation of the biological principles of heredity and of the classification of Man into races. He proceeds to provide an incisive overview, backed up at every step by hard, documented references to the original research, of the nature and extent of racial differences, especially between Whites and Negroes, in intelligence, physical anatomy, and even brain structure. He goes on to document the Negroes' low contribution to human history – alone of the major human races, they never developed their own civilization, nor even invented the wheel. He also effectively demolishes attempts to explain away the Negroes' backwardness on environmental grounds.

Invaluable as all this is to new recruits to our Cause, it is the final chapter of the Professor's booklet, dealing with the authors of the pro-racemixing case in America, which will be of interest to any Nationalist. For here George shows that the academics whose strident preachings were primarily used to change the climate of intellectual acceptability in America from awareness to wilful ignorance of racial differences were largely unqualified to express professional opinions on the subject at all. They were also largely an interwoven "mafia" of ex-students of one man, Franz Boas, Professor of Anthropology at Columbia University from 1899 to 1936.

CRANK AND CHARLATON

Boas, a Jew, was a crank and charlatan who believed, amongst other similarly strange notions, that so much were racial differences due to environment that after a few generations in the country White North Americans would end up looking like Red Indians! (The Afrikaners, by the same logic, should all have turned into Negroes long ago!) He was also pro-Communist and openly advocated racemixing as the Final Solution to the race problem.

The students of this "Godfather" of academic racemixing are a litany of the leading pro-racemixers of mid-20th-Century America. They also share a familiar ethnic theme: Isidor Chein, Melville Herskovits, Ashley Montagu, Otto Klineberg, Gene Weltfish. One of the few Boas students to break this Hebraic litany, Margaret Mead, was recently exposed as a fraud who faked the findings cited in her "classic" *Coming of Age in Samoa.*

Professor George's *The Biology of the Race Problem* is matched, only by Professor Carleton Coon's *The Origin of Races* and Dr. J.R. Baker's *Race* as essential reading for anyone who seeks a real understanding of the facts behind the crucial question of racial differences. The citizens of Alabama lost their fight in the early Sixties to save their land from racemixing, largely due to the spinelessness of their leaders when put to the test. But, by commissioning Professor George to write his book, they may well yet have played an important part in the final victory of their Cause, and ours, – that of White racial survival.

The Biology of the Race Problem is available from Nationalist Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, for 90p + 21p p&p.

NATIONALIST BOOKS

The NF's mail order book service. A full range of titles of interest to Nationalists and Racialists. Send 20p for list to: 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey.

JOE PEARCE

Trouble shooting

SINCE the start of this year, my colleagues and I have deliberately avoided any mention of George Orwell's classic book *1984*. We knew that everybody from Margaret Thatcher to Ken Livingstone would be paying tribute to Orwell, even though Orwell himself would be horrified by the actions of those who praise him. For instance, Ken Livingstone 'celebrated' 1984 by launching the GLC's 'Anti-Racist Year' which is designed to make racialism a 'thoughtcrime', while Margaret Thatcher's reactionary regime continues to strengthen the power of the police at the expense of individual freedom.

Is it any wonder, when faced with these blatant examples of what Orwell called 'doublespeak', that *Nationalism Today* has refused to become embroiled in the sickening hypocrisy which has accompanied so many George Orwell tributes?

However, following a number of police raids on the homes of National Front members and supporters on February 28th, it has become impossible to avoid the obvious parallels which exist between the actions of Big Brother's 'thought police' in *1984* the novel, and Big Sister's 'thought police' in 1984 the reality.

I was one of the National Front members who had the dubious distinction of being visited on February 28th. I was just thinking of getting up when I heard several loud raps on the door. Wondering who could be calling for me at that time of the morning (about 7.45), I got up and went downstairs to answer the door.

PLASTIC GANGSTERS

On opening the door, I was confronted by five smartly dressed men in three-piece suits who immediately tried to push me to one side and enter the house. They looked like the sort of plastic gangsters that make guest appearances on T.V. shows like *Minder*, and my initial observation proved fairly accurate when one of them waved a police ID card under my nose.

They informed me that they had a search warrant under, of all things, the Obscene Publications Act, and they told me that they were from the Obscene Publications Squad at New Scotland Yard. However, I was not surprised to learn that the two officers in charge were in fact from Scotland Yard's Community Relations Branch, the Establishment's Race Squad. They were Detective Superintendent Harley and Inspector Donald Edwardson.

When I later sought legal advice as to what action I could take regarding these raids, my solicitor was astonished to learn that the search had been carried out under the auspices of the Obscene Publications Act. He was as shocked as I was that a man's political views can now be considered under law to be "obscene".

It does not take a genius to recognise the implications of this latest Establishment ploy. If an idea can be considered "obscene" by law, then the person who holds those views can be considered "sick" and assigned to a "suitable" hospital for "treatment". Admittedly we haven't reached that stage yet, but there can be no denying that the underlying trend is moving steadily in that direction. The precedent has been set by these raids, and the repercussions are yet to be faced.

Anyway, returning to the scene of the raid on my home, the five police officers stormed into my bedroom, waking my pregnant wife Gina and then entered the other bedroom and woke up my eleven month old baby Lorna. They then proceeded to ransack the whole house.

"OBSCENE PUBLICATIONS"

Amongst the 'obscene publications' that they sealed in plastic bags and took away with them were a complete set of *Nationalism Today's* going back to issue one and a complete set of *Bulldogs*. They also took a portable typewriter and several issues of *National Front News* and *New Nation*. Other 'obscene publications' which they confiscated included my wife's address book, two photo albums containing wedding photographs and pictures of my baby, and, last but not least, a football game!

As absurd as it may sound to think of five plain clothed policemen walking off with wedding photographs and a football game, I believe that there was a clear political motivation even behind the confiscation of these apparently harmless items. The Community Relations Branch of Scotland Yard have tried to intimidate me into taking a back seat on a number of other occasions before now, most notably with the six month prison sentence in January 1982. I believe that they now recognise that I am unlikely to bow to any further pressure from them so they are hoping that I will bow to pressure from my wife. By stealing items they know to be personally valuable to Gina, they are hoping that the pressure will get to her and that she will consequently start to put the pressure on me to play a less active role in the movement.

However, if that is their plan they are going to be disappointed. Gina is not a faint-hearted girl, and her first reaction, far from moaning at me, was to call in at the local police station and make an official complaint against the two members of the Race Squad who had masterminded the raid!

Gina also managed to slip out of the house while the raid was going on. She caught a mini-cab to Newham, in East London, her intention being to get the assistance of NF Deputy Chairman Ian Anderson. However, when she arrived at Ian's flat she found that his flat was also being raided. Later still, we found out that the Establishment's Big Brother operation had been even more widespread. Four other addresses of NF sympathisers in South East England had been raided on the same morning.

At the time of writing, the police have only returned a handful of the possessions which they stole from my house. Time will tell whether or not they are contemplating a prosecution of any sort, although it is difficult to believe that they would have gone to all this trouble if they weren't considering even further oppressive actions in the future.

While all Racial Nationalists will be outraged by this anti-British repression, they should not be surprised by it. On the contrary, they should have expected oppression to come sooner or later and should feel pleased and proud that it has arrived. Nationalists should have expected the oppression because it was always inevitable that the multi-racial state would take measures to protect itself when it saw itself threatened. Consequently, we should feel pleased and proud because the oppression only indicates that we are being effective in our political activity. The only time the Nationalist movement should despair is when it is being ignored because when the National Front is ignored it will mean that is has become irrelevant.

This being the case, the only way for the National Front to fight back against this repression is to increase our commitment and our efforts even more. National Front members and supporters should respond to oppression in the same way that they have always responded in the past – by fighting even harder!

It has been said that every blow that doesn't knock you down makes you stronger. The National Front is the last bastion of White civilisation in Britain and it will take more than a couple of police raids or prison sentences to destroy the spirit of National Pride and Racial Survival.

The National Front is not *content* to continue as we are and to survive, we are *intent* on coming to power. We are not *content* to merely haunt the multi-racial Establishment we are *intent* on totally destroying it!

THE MILITANTS' LEVY CAN YOU PLEASE HELP ?

The NF is determined to fight more effectively for British Nationalism, in the face of increasing state oppression. The Party plans to computerise HQ and greatly expand its literature output. To do that we need a large *regular* and *reliable* future income – and that's where you can help.

We're asking as many members and supporters as possible to subscribe to the *Militants' Levy*. Each month subscribers will send £5.00 to the NF, and will be sent back a receipted Levy Card, and a copy of the *Chairman's Bulletin* from Andrew Brons.

If enough people subscribe to the Levy it will make all the difference to the Party's effectiveness, – so please help if you can.

I would like to help the NF and enclose a £5.00 cheque/P.O. (payable to 'National Front') as my first contribution to the Militants' Levy.

Name: .....

Address: .....

.....

Send to: NF, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

READERS' LETTERS

All letters to *Nationalism Today* should be addressed to The Editor, *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

SELL–OUT

Dear *NT*,

In recent months there has been considerable discussion over the probable privatisation of Jaguar cars, the flagship of British Leyland. This has led to new and disturbing news of the international motor giant, General Motors, becoming involved in preliminary discussions with Government officials and BL over the possible sale of Jaguar.

We have seen in the case of Vauxhall, G.M.'s British subsidiary, how it has become little more than a name badge for Belgian, Spanish and German built and designed Opel motors. If a multinational company, such as G.M., were to buy the profitable Jaguar, how long would it be before these beautiful cars are being churned out on the production lines of Munich or Detriot and imported into this country?

Millions of pounds of taxpayers' money has gone into the revival of BL. Why now, when Jaguar cars have regained worldwide high regard and profitability, are Government creeps such as Tebbit even contemplating its sale to foreign interests.

Jaguar, now valued between 100 – 200 million pounds, is set to make huge inroads into the luxury car market, with its future launch of the XJ40, XJ80 saloons and the coming F-type. It would be foolish to now sell the pride of the British motor industry to outside interests, who will gain from our technology at the expense of the British worker.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Fletcher,
London SW.

CHEAP LABOUR

Dear *Nationalism Today*,

The recent television disclosures about the working conditions in Bangkok factories, which produce clothes for British retail firms, will come as no surprise to British Nationalists. What effect it will hopefully have is to make many British workers aware of what their Government is forcing them to compete against. That is, young girls, some as young as eleven or twelve, many of whom kept virtually prisoner in a factory where they work fifteen hours out of twenty-four, and are paid as little as a pound a day.

Littlewoods are one of the better known firms who avail themselves of the produce of sweated labour, not only from Thailand but from throughout the Far East. In the Littlewoods organisation's annual report to staff in 1979, the then chairman, Peter Moores, boasted:

"At this moment we are fighting to persuade the Government not to put any further restrictions on imports from low cost countries, which would prevent us from obtaining for our customers the best values we can find in the world markets".

Translate the last part to read "which would prevent us from making a large profit at the expense of British industry" and we would be closer to the truth.

Yours sincerely,

Howard Hawksley,
Merseyside.

TECHNOLOGY

Dear Editor,

I would like to reply to two writers in your Issue No.19.

By attacking modern technology Paul Comben is turning his back on the product of our own racial genius. This is ironic as it is precisely the use of the very latest modern technological developments which allow us to go beyond the stage of Nineteenth Century industrialisation and urbanisation and return to the village.

It is not technology that is wrong, but the emptiness in ourselves. It is quite possible to enjoy a more humane and vibrant culture and use computers. Let us 'return to the soil', but let us also 'reach for the stars'.

Paul Matthews (letters) is prepared to accept Racial-Nationalism only on the condition that it exists in the shadow of Christianity. He is not prepared to accept those who would make "the race a god".

I do not worship the race as a god, but a biological view of life (of which Racial Nationalism is the immediate political expression) does form my worldview. Such a view inevitably conflicts with Christianity. While Christians seek some metaphysical redemption, the destiny I seek for our Nation and Race is the quest for the superman. As 'God' is a projection of ourselves, we can only aspire to the 'divine' by seeking biological perfection. To the Christian, of course, such a view is 'pagan idolatry'.

I would add that it is intellectually dishonest of Paul Matthews to castigate the critics of Christianity for being allegedly "like the Jews" when Christianity itself began as a Jewish sect! One might as well suggest (with rather more justification) that the assertion by Paul Matthews that Christianity can be universal without being internationalist is like the quibble of a Talmudic scholar!

Yours faithfully

Alan Dale,
London SE.

CULTURE–CONSCIOUS

Dear *NT*,

I found Joe Pearce's article in issue No.19 to be most stimulating.

As a professional actor I share his views on the National Front's failure to make progress on the cultural level.

Birmingham's Rotunda building now displays the world's largest Coca-Cola advertising sign. This is yet another stark symbol of Britain being nothing more than a cultural satellite of America.

NF members and sympathizers must be culture-conscious – as well as race-conscious – and should support the Party's new radical strategy!

Yours faithfully,

Graham Jones,
Wolverhampton.

BLOOD SPORT?

Dear *NT*,

The extremist Hunt Saboteurs Association have launched a ludicrous campaign against freshwater fishing, a sport enjoyed by millions of anglers in this country.

In their magazine *Howl*, Harry Cross states: "Fish, by virtue of their existence, have the right to be free of interference from man, whether for sport or food . . .".

We can only imagine scenes of intimidation outside chip shops and having to feed the kids fish fingers behind locked doors for fear of a demo in the kitchen.

This campaign has surfaced at a time when anglers, their associations and the angling press have shifted to becoming totally committed to improving and ensuring clean, tackle free banks and lead free waters. Full support has been given to replacing the traditional lead shot with a tungsten based weight which has just been developed.

Anglers throughout the country show with pride photographs of fish, replaced with the greatest of care. Gone are the Victorian days of stuffing and displaying the latest catch in a glass box.

I am of the opinion that any sport which ensures the wellbeing and protection of a species and its environment does nothing but good for nature and its conservation – a policy fully endorsed by the NF.

Yours,

Michael Burroughs,
London E.

NISSAN

Dear *NT*,

What a disgusting spectacle to see British politicians, in Parliament and on T.V., competing with each other to debase themselves for our Japanese saviours.

I refer, of course, to the generous offer by Nissan to set up a motor plant in Britain and the subsequent begging by local councillors and MPs to have the factory in their particular region.

This public display of grovelling only serves to show that none of the Establishment parties are in the least bit concerned with the survival of the indigenous British motor industry.

The only way to secure British jobs is to ban all foreign manufacturers and their goods from this country. Britain can and should be an industrially self-sufficient nation.

Yours ever,

S.J. Beaton,
London SE.

Rite Up

RED INACTION

TROOPS OUT NOW. CRUSH THE FASCISTS THROUGH MASS TRADE UNION /MINORITY MOBILISATION!

The National Front's threat to stage a race-hate anti-Irish provocation against the Bloody Sunday march must be stopped! That these vile fascist scum contemplate showing their faces in this solidly pro-union, working-class city is the result of the green light given them by the Labour Party dominated Sheffield Council's anti-Irish ban. The NF storm-troopers want to capitalise on this . . .

Not just organisers and those who intend to march on the Bloody Sunday demo but every working class militant who ignores the fascist threat does it at their own peril, especially now that it is proposed that the demo be shifted from Sheffield to Bradford with its large Asian working class population. The fascists cannot be ignored! They must be stopped! Miners, steelworkers, every trade union must combine with Irish and other minority organisations to keep the fascist rats off the streets.

What about the Harrods bombing. . . What about the razing of countless Irish villages over the centuries by English overlords, or Edward 1's use of Yorkshire levies to massacre and burn Berwick . . .

The NF is looking for another Bloody Sunday – with Irish, blacks, Asians, an an army of Scottish workers storming out of their Clydeside bastions across the border like Wallace and the Black Douglas did – all marching behind the power of organised labour – that's what's needed this Sunday. . .

Stop the fascist swine! Troops out of Ireland now! For a mass trade union/minority mobilisation on Bloody Sunday to crush the fascist anti-Irish provocation!

Printed by the Spartacist League.

N.B. In spite of this highly relevant leaflet addressed to the everyday problems of the working class of Britain, there was no red mobilisation against the NF's regional march through Sheffield.

BURNING ISSUE

POLICE in Zebediela, South Africa have recently confirmed that a man and two women, thought by their fellow villagers to be witches, were tethered to the rear of a lorry and burned alive.

Their deaths brought to 12 the number similarly killed in recent months. Usually the villagers of Zebediela maintain that witches cause lightning to strike a person, a home or somebody's cattle and in this case, the villagers blamed the unlucky trio for a woman's death.

A "headman", or junior chief, had forced the three to attend a tribal hearing where witch doctors "sniffed" them to see if they were guilty or not.

The Home Office has been unable to confirm whether any members of the Zebediela village are resident in the UK, but readers who live in multi-racial areas are warned to be wary of strangely dressed Blacks, who go around sniffing people shortly after a period of thunder and lightning!

ASK THE RABBIT

Rabbi Menachem Porush, an Agudat Israel leader, said: "This is a serious situation. The fact that a rabbit has been brought to the police and arrested is unheard of."

— **Daily Telegraph, 12.3.84.**

REAGAN'S WORLD VIEW

REAGAN'S official visit to Ottawa in 1981.

According to people at the meeting, Reagan volunteered early in the discussions that he had a solution to the problems of the Middle East. Reagan's aides, including Secretary of State Alexander Haig, tried to dissuade the president from revealing his solution. But with Traudeau's polite encouragement, Reagan persisted.

Then the conversation, according to people present, went roughly like this:

Reagan – In the Middle East we have the Jews and the Moslems and the Soviet Union.

Trudeau – Yes.

Reagan – And the Jews are God-fearing people, aren't they?

Trudeau – Yes.

Reagan – And the Moslems are also God-fearing people.

Trudeau – That's right, Mr President.

Reagan – But the Russians are not God-fearing people.

Trudeau – I suppose not, Mr President.

Reagan – Well then what we have to do in the Middle East is to get the God-fearing people together to oppose the non-God-fearing people and that will solve the problem.

Trudeau, according to those present, tried to hide his embarrassment at this simplicity and quickly changed the subject, while Haig actually blushed.

An Israeli delegation was also treated to Reagan's 'dumb-goy' world-view:

"Christians, Jews, and Moslems are all good, honest Godfearing people. It's the Communists who are causing the trouble. Throw out the Russians and the problem in the Middle East will be solved."

NT readers may have been puzzled to hear that Ronald Reagan had called the two suicide bombers who blew up themselves and the American and French military bases in Beirut "cowards". But good old Ronnie has even managed to go one better than that. Commenting on the rather hasty withdrawal of U.S. Marines from Beirut, Reagan said that "we are not running away. We are just going into a little more defensible position".

Industrial Front

PUTTING BUSINESS ON A SOUNDER FOOTING

WHEN 200 workers at Clarks shoe factory in Somerset were given the boot 18 months ago, a handful refused to accept existence on the dole. They pooled their £500 redundancy pay and formed a co-operative. After months of struggle, the Unicorn Shoe firm in Minehead now faces a healthy future.

Clarks closed the factory after being hit badly by cheap imports from Italy and Brazil. But 13 of the workforce, many with more than 20 years production experience, decided to go it alone.

"It was tough in the early days," says production manager Jack Furse, who had worked for 28 years at Clarks. "We had no assets, no sales or marketing experience and no bank would lend us money. All we had were our old skills."

For three months, the workforce could not afford to pay themselves wages, and the Department of Health and Social Security refused to pay them benefits. They survived by producing just 200 pairs a week and plying their wares at local stores and markets. Then Clarks, noting an upturn in the U.K. market placed a weekly order of 500 pairs of shoes to be marketed under their own name.

The Unicorn Workers have awarded themselves two pay rises, and have taken on three extra staff. Furse says the next few months will challenge the company as it tries to find a style with new shoes. One line in bowling shoes has sold well and a ladies' shoe has been test marketed. Later this year Unicorn plans to take on a professional marketing adviser. Meanwhile the men at Unicorn have taken their own sales initiative – wherever they go, they make sure they're wearing self-made shoes.

The staff of the Unicorn Shoe Firm.

'Ban The Unions'

THE LATEST attack on Workers' rights by the Tory Government was the banning of Trade Union membership to all those employed by the Government's signals surveilance services (G.C.H.Q.). Forms giving the staff the choice between leaving the Trade Unions or their jobs, were distributed at more than 17 bases, at home and abroad. About 8,000 civil servants are covered by the decision, but White collar workers are not the only ones affected by the ban. Manual workers in the Engineering, the Transport and General Workers' and the Municipal and General Workers' Unions were also given the ultimatum.

The unions had attempted to negotiate with the Government over a possible compromise, but Thatcher saw this as a sign of weakness and went in for the kill. Sir Anthony Meyer, a Tory M.P. for Clwyd N.Wales, made his reactionary position clear on Thatcher's handling of G.C.H.Q. Gloating over the fact that 90 per-cent of the Workers had been prepared to give up the fight and renounce their unions, he said "in it's own way, the Prime Minister's great victory at Cheltenham, is no less significant than her victory in the South Atlantic." (sic).

The Tories have used security as the reason for removing the right of Workers to organise themselves. This is just a smoke screen to try and hide the real issues of hostility to the tradition of Trade Unionism. If Thatcher is really concerned about security then she should look back over her own Government's history of incompetence in stopping gross security leaks. Whether there are Trade Unions or not, this will not stop agents working for foreign powers from infiltrating Britain's security net-work, while a Government which is more concerned with suppressing British Workers than rooting out foreign spies, remains in power.

"British as Selfridges"

THE STEADY erosion of our national sovereignty by huge multinationals continued in February, when U.S.-based computer giant, IBM, ordered their British customers to obtain the approval of the U.S. Department of Commerce before moving largely British-built IBM computers from one part of Britain to another.

This outrageous demand, featured prominently in a letter sent by IBM UK to its customers, which stated that those Britons who do business with the IBM's "British" subsidiary should in future obey American, rather than British, trading laws and Government directives.

At the same time, IBM UK launched a major advertising campaign to convince Britons that it is "as British as Selfridges". Ironically, this is on the orders of their bosses in IBM's global HQ in New York State. Meanwhile, IBM, which already makes 60% of the World's computers, is imposing American regulations on the computer industries of every country within its reach and seeking to undercut and strangle their native computer manufacturers.

This hypocritical fraud brought a stinging editorial in the 16th February issue of *Computing*, the industry's leading newspaper: "The worldwide operation of IBM, in the eyes of the U.S. Government and many U.S. citizens, owes allegiance to the U.S.. IBM's foreign subsidiaries are, according to this view, foreign bases in which IBM, the U.S. corporation, operates. IBM's balancing act of looking European to those with European perspective, British in the UK market, and a solid American in the U.S. falls apart when the pressure is applied by events like this."

The truth is that IBM, whose executives are promoted on the basis of their loyalty to IBM rather than their country of origin, owes its real allegiance not to the U.S., nor to any of the countries penetrated by its tentacles. The real interest it serves is its own ruthless, selfish greed.

SHEFFIELD :- How We Managed To March

Ian Anderson, Joint Chairman of the National Activities Department, gives the fascinating background story to two recent NF activities in Sheffield.

On Saturday 3rd March 1984 about 150 mainly Yorkshire National Front members marched through the Hillsborough Shopping Centre in Sheffield to demonstrate our opposition to the I.R.A. Just how we were able to do this is a fascinating story of bluff, threats, lies and violence committed by an increasingly corrupt police force.

In mid-December we heard that Sinn Fein proposed to hold their annual "Bloody Sunday Commemmoration March" in Sheffield. In consultation with the local senior police officers a route was agreed which would allow the National Front to march through part of the town centre.

Within about two days however the Home Secretary, Leon Brittan, had banned all processions in Sheffield. We then learned Sinn Fein planned to move their march to Bradford. Our application to march in Bradford was delayed for 24 hours to see if a ban would be automatically imposed – it was.

We also examined other alternatives including the possibility of a deal between the police and Sinn Fein whereby Sinn Fein would secretly apply to march in the West Midlands. This would put the NF in an impossible position as it is necessary to give three days notice of a march in the West Midlands.

Consequently an application for a Birmingham march was entered. Thus if Sinn Fein marched anywhere in the West Midlands the NF would be able to march in protest right through the heart of Birmingham.

For a short time after the Bradford ban we heard rumours of Chesterfield as a third alternative, however before this could be confirmed calls started to come in telling us that Sinn Fein were calling off their activity.

ACHIEVEMENT

If true, this would be a tremendous achievement. For the first time in twelve years the biggest mainland event of the Sinn Fein would have had to be called off because of action by the National Front. However in view of previous "deals" between the police and Sinn Fein we were somewhat sceptical about these rumours and we continued with our mobilisation.

To have called off our activity would have allowed the possibility of Sinn Fein holding a march, and both Sinn Fein and the police laughing at the NF having been fooled.

On Sunday 29th January about 500 NF members arrived at the redirection point outside Sheffield on the M1 Motorway. By this time our various scouts had confirmed that it was true that Sinn Fein had called off their activity. The drivers of our vehicles were then told that we had a route worked out for a 'Victory' march through Wakefield. As time passed by I made several representations to the senior South Yorkshire police present and was told on two seperate occasions that we would be allowed to march and that we were waiting for the Assistant Chief Constable of West Yorkshire.

When eventually this Mr Demaille did arrive he told me that we were not allowed to march in Wakefield.Both Andrew Brons and I pointed out that there was no ban on marches in Wakefield and asked why we were not being allowed to proceed about our lawful business.

EMBARASSING

After a lot of flannel he blurted out that to allow us to march in Wakefield would be "politically embarrassing". Apparently it is now an offence to "embarrass" a senior police officer.

Realising his mistake Mr Demaille then came out with other reasons as to why we would not be allowed to proceed: "there was a serious threat to public order because of opposition in Wakefield". No such opposition existed.

Finally the rather flushed Mr Demaille ordered all NF members to re-board their vehicles under threat of arrest and proceed in convoy away from the area. On the journey away from Wakefield all the vehicles were kept in convoy and ordered to proceed South, even the coach from Scotland.

In final frustration the lead NF vehicle pulled the convoy onto the hard shoulder. At this stage individual police officers including one Inspector attacked the NF members, some police officers using their truncheons. This and other types of harassment continued for many hours with police vehicles shadowing the NF coaches all the way to their home towns.

This completely illegal action in turning us away from Wakefield and the subsequent harassment is the first time the NF or any other organisation had experienced such police action. It is also one of the first times that Scotland Yard's central direction room was used to order the deployment of police officers from many different forces.

It has since been interesting to note the similarity in police action in dealing with the miners' flying pickets. In one case pickets from Kent going to the West Midlands were halted at the Dartford Tunnel and turned back. They appealed to the High Court but their appeal was rejected. Apparently 1984 really has arrived.

COMPLAINTS

While the National Front is still examining the possibility of legal action a number of other steps were taken in an attempt to counteract the action of the police. A number of individual NF members registered complaints with the South Yorkshire Police.

Each complaint has to be investigated by a senior police officer and this has so far necessitated senior police officers travelling as far away as Worthing in Sussex, Rochdale, Norwich and Liverpool; other complaints have gone in from Croydon, Birmingham and Scotland.

Because of this action we believe that there was a change of policy by the South Yorkshire Police and this policy became evident when we marched in Sheffield on Saturday 3rd March. Not only was there no question of a ban, not only did the police not attempt to halt NF members entering the City, but the police were bending over backwards to be polite and helpful to the National Front.

Yes, it is true that we were stopped from marching in Wakefield, but the action of NF members on 29th January meant that we scored a major political victory in stopping for the first time ever the Sinn Fein Bloody Sunday march – an achievement that has not gone un-noticed in Northern Ireland. As a result of subsequent action by the members of the NF we have now been able to march through the City of Sheffield demonstrating our opposition to the IRA and giving a boost to our flourishing Group in Sheffield.

GET IT REGULARLY!

Make sure you receive Nationalism Today promptly, every single issue. Take out a subscription today by filling in this form and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the correct amount.

Name .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

The following rates are for **12** issues (please tick as applicable): British Isles: **£7.00** ☐ Overseas unsealed surface mail **£7.30** ☐ Overseas sealed surface mail **£10.70** ☐ Unsealed air mail, Middle East **£11.30** ☐ Unsealed air mail Canada, America, Africa **£12.20** ☐ Unsealed air mail Australia, New Zealand **£14.20** ☐ Half rates for 6 issues.
Please add 50p to foreign cheques as commission for our grasping bankers!
All cheques/P.O.'s should be made out to NATIONALISM TODAY and sent to: N.T., 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

The State of Things to Come

BY DAVE STEVENS

"The state is the coldest of all cold monsters, and this lie creeps from its mouth, I, the state, am the people." (Nietzche).

THERE has, on the whole, been general agreement within Nationalism on the basic ideas of Race and Nation. On economics and the idea of the State, however, there has been less.

In the ideological war that tore Europe asunder, Hitler, Mussolini and Franco all formed regimes based on totalitarian values. Yet inside their Nationalist movements others had formulated different ideas; in Spain and Italy there were the Syndicalists, while in Germany there were the Strasserites.

We in Britain are lucky that with Chesterton and Belloc we have, in our own back yard, the roots for our own National Revolution – the ideas of Distributism.

Others also, on the surface at least, have ideas that seem to challange the present economic *status quo.*

LIBERTARIANISM

In the last few years the ideas of libertarianism have gained ground to such an extent that they are having an influence on the Conservative Party. Not, it may be added, in the sphere of individual freedom since Thatcher is the most authoritarian leader since the war and our members are still picked up for the heinous crime of selling papers. It is in the field of economics that libertarianism has had a voice, of oil and telecommunications, and the privatisation of some council services.

Even so, on face value there could appear to be similarities between their views and ours, i.e. anti-statism and a belief in private property and the freedom of the individual. However, despite the superficial similarities, there is no common ground between us.

The most extreme libertarians see a future where private armies and airforces are hired by nations with the most money to fight their wars for them. The majority of libertarians simply see a world where all trade and services, and all movement of capital and labour, is based on the market place.

The libertarian ideal ends up as the power of money over people, a gaudy fairground of chaos, where only conspiracy and corruption will flourish. The libertarian idea is liberalism stripped of its soggy compassion, a world where nothing matters, where nothing is sacred, not love, not people, only trade.

In Britain, multi-racialism, liberalism and materialism are doing nothing what the *Lufwaffe* couldn't. They are destroying the spirit of the White working class. The community spirit that brought them through wars and depression is dying. After all, what sort of community is there when half the street is Black, and old age brings not a helping hand but only a pointing finger as the mugger sights an easy target. How much protection would a pension buy from the private police that the libertarians dream of?

SHARP CONTRAST

Our ideals of Race, Nation and Social Justice stand in sharp contrast. We must believe in the individual, but as Nationalists we believe that the Nation is the family of families. We must see each man, woman and child as a member of that family. We must nurture the internal discipline of the individual, not because we want mindless



individual, not because we want mindless slaves but because, as members of one family, we have to work together.

People, on the whole, only love or feel unity when they see something of themselves in others. In the family this is a close blood relationship, a relationship based on genetic and social factors. This is equally so with the family of families . A Nation can only gain organic unity if those individuals who make up the Nation see a racial and social tie between them.

But even in the most racially cohesive state, if the economic and social diseases of capitalism are not cured the nation can implode and those who should be brothers become enemies.

Totalitarian states of left, right and sadly even those states that called themselves Nationalist, tried to solve the problem, not by dismantling the capitalist system, but by repressing those who are its victims and its potential executioners. If we build our state and don't deal with the evils of capitalism we will have to oppress and kill not alien financiers but our racial brothers and sisters. We will have to fight the most terrible of all wars, the civil and class war that splits our Nation and splits father from son and mother from daughter. Such a state can never be healthy if it can only survive by oppressing large sections of its own population.

LOCAL MILITIA

To stop our Nationalist state from succumbing to the evils of totalitarianism, all our people should go through some training in local militia. This would safeguard the Nation not just from outside interference but from cliques who could form in certain national institutions.

Our state must be one which is decentralised into the smallest practical units. By supporting producers' co-operatives and small family businesses we will destroy the capitalist combines and deproletarianise our workfolk.

Once we've done this the workhouse cities will cease to have a function, as will their suburban dormitaries. In their place will be a people working with pride in rural and semi-rural surroundings, a people who are free because they own and control their own labour.

We must build a Nation that follows ideas not dictators, which is ruled by love not fear. A Nation of land and freedom, not bread and circuses.

We must build a third way, neither libertarian chaos nor cold statism. A third way, neither capitalist nor communist.

We must lead our people towards this third way, or others will lead them towards tyranny and death.

FIND OUT ABOUT THE NATIONAL FRONT

Send 50p for information pack to:

NATIONAL FRONT
50 PAWSONS ROAD
CROYDON
SURREY CRO 2QF